arXiv:1105.1566v1 [math.CA] 9 May 2011

SOME INTEGRAL INEQUALITIES ON TIME SCALES

LI YIN AND FENG QI

Abstract. In this paper, some new integral inequalities on time scales are presented by using elementarily analytic methods in calculus of time scales.

1. Introduction

The following problem was posed by the second author in the preprint [29] and its formally published version [28].

Open Problem 1.1. *Under what conditions does the inequality*

$$\int_a^b [f(x)]^p \,\mathrm{d}\,x \ge \biggl[\int_a^b f(x) \,\mathrm{d}\,x\biggr]^{p-1} \tag{1.1}$$

hold for $p > 1$?

Since then, this problem has been stimulating much interest of many mathematicians. In recent years, we have collected over forty articles devoted to answering and generalizing this open problem and to applying inequalities of this type. For potential availability to interested readers, we list the collection as references of this paper.

In [3, p. 124, Theorem C], M. Akkouchi proved the following result.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $[a,b]$ be a closed interval of $\mathbb{R}$ and $p > 1$. If $f(x)$ is a continuous function on $[a,b]$ such that $f(a) \ge 0$ and $f'(x) \ge p$ on (a,b), then*

$$\int_a^b [f(x)]^{p+2} \,\mathrm{d}\,x \ge \frac{1}{(b-a)^{p-1}}\biggl[\int_a^b f(x) \,\mathrm{d}\,x\biggr]^{p+1}. \tag{1.2}$$

In [7, Proposition 3.5], the following q-analogue of the above Theorem 1.1 was obtained.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $p > 1$ be a real number and $f(x)$ be a function defined on $[a,b]_q$ such that $f(a) \ge 0$ and $\mathrm{d}_q f(x) \ge p$ for all $x \in (a,b]_q$. Then*

$$\int_a^b [f(x)]^{p+2} \,\mathrm{d}_q\, x \ge \frac{1}{(b-a)^{p-1}}\biggl[\int_a^b f(qx) \,\mathrm{d}_q\, x\biggr]^{p+1}. \tag{1.3}$$

The main aim of this paper is to generalize the above results on time scales. As by-product, an unified form of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 is demonstrated when $p \ge 2$.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 26D15, 26E70; Secondary 93C70.

Key words and phrases. integral inequality; calculus of time scales; delta differentiability; Hölder inequality; unified form.

This paper was typeset using $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}$-LaTeX.

2. Notations and lemmas

2.1. **Notations.** Throughout this paper, we adopt notations in the monograph [4].

A time scale $\mathbb{T}$ is a non-empty closed subset of the real numbers $\mathbb{R}$. The forward and backward jump operators $\sigma, \rho : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{T}$ are respectively defined by

$$\sigma(t) = \inf\{s \in \mathbb{T} : s > t\} \tag{2.1}$$

and

$$\rho(t) = \sup\{s \in \mathbb{T} : s < t\}, \tag{2.2}$$

where the supremum of the empty set is defined to be the infimum of $\mathbb{T}$.

A point $t \in \mathbb{T}$ is said to be right-scattered if $\sigma(t) > t$ and to be right-dense if $\sigma(t) = t$; on the other hand, a point $t \in \mathbb{T}$ with $t > \inf \mathbb{T}$ is said to be left-scattered if $\rho(t) < t$ and to be left-dense if $\rho(t) = t$. A function $g : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}$ is said to be rd-continuous provided that g is continuous at right-dense points and has finite left-sided limits at left-dense points in $\mathbb{T}$. In what follows, the set of all rd-continuous functions from $\mathbb{T}$ to $\mathbb{R}$ is denoted by $C_{rd}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$. The graininess function μ for a time scales $\mathbb{T}$ is defined by $\mu(t) = \sigma(t) - t$. For $f : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}$, the notation f^σ means the composition $f \circ \sigma$.

For a function $f : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}$, the (delta) derivative $f^\Delta(t)$ at $t \in \mathbb{T}$ is defined to be the number, if it exists, such that for all $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a neighborhood U of t with

$$\bigl|f(\sigma(t)) - f(s) - f^\Delta(t)(\sigma(t) - s)\bigr| < \varepsilon|\sigma(t) - s| \tag{2.3}$$

for all $s \in U$. If the (delta) derivative $f^\Delta(t)$ exits for all $t \in \mathbb{T}$, then we say that f is (delta) differentiable on $\mathbb{T}$.

The (delta) derivatives of the product fg and the quotient $\frac{f}{g}$ of two (delta) differentiable functions f and g may be formulated respectively as

$$(fg)^\Delta = f^\Delta g + f^\sigma g^\Delta = fg^\Delta + f^\Delta g^\sigma \tag{2.4}$$

and

$$\left(\frac{f}{g}\right)^\Delta = \frac{f^\Delta g - fg^\Delta}{gg^\sigma}, \tag{2.5}$$

where $gg^\sigma \neq 0$.

For $b, c \in \mathbb{T}$ and a (delta) differentiable function f, Cauchy integral of f^Δ is defined by

$$\int_b^c f^\Delta(t)\Delta t = f(c) - f(b) \tag{2.6}$$

and infinite integrals are defined as

$$\int_b^\infty f(t)\Delta t = \lim_{c\to\infty} \int_b^c f(t)\Delta t. \tag{2.7}$$

An integration by parts formula reads that

$$\int_b^c f(t)g^\Delta(t)\Delta t = f(t)g(t)\big|_b^c - \int_b^c f^\Delta(t)g(\sigma(t))\Delta t. \tag{2.8}$$

Note that in the case $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$, we have $\sigma(t) = \rho(t) = t$, $\mu(t) = 0$, $f^\Delta(t) = f'(t)$, and

$$\int_b^c f^\Delta(t)\Delta t = \int_b^c f'(t)\,\mathrm{d}\,t, \tag{2.9}$$

and that in the case $\mathbb{T} = q\mathbb{Z}$, we have $\sigma(t) = t + q$, $\rho(t) = t - q$, $\mu(t) \equiv q$, and

$$f^{\Delta}(t) = \frac{f(t+q) - f(t)}{q}. \tag{2.10}$$

2.2. **Lemmas.**

The following lemmas are useful and some of them can be found in the book [4].

Lemma 2.1 ([4, p. 28, Theorem 1.76])**.** *If $f^{\Delta}(x) \ge 0$, then $f(x)$ is non-decreasing.*

Lemma 2.2 ([4, p. 32, Theorem 1.90], Chain Rule)**.** *Let $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be continuously differentiable and let $g : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}$ be delta differentiable. Then $f \circ g : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}$ is delta differentiable and*

$$(f \circ g)^{\Delta}(t) = \left\{ \int_0^1 \left[f'(g(t) + h\mu(t)g^{\Delta}(t) \right] \mathrm{d}\, h \right\} g^{\Delta}(t). \tag{2.11}$$

Lemma 2.3 ([4, p. 34, Theorem 1.93])**.** *Assume that $v : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}$ is strictly increasing, that $\tilde{\mathbb{T}} = v(\mathbb{T})$ is a time scale, and that $\omega : \tilde{\mathbb{T}} \to \mathbb{R}$. If $v^{\Delta}(t)$ and $\omega^{\tilde{\Delta}}(v(t))$ exist for $t \in \mathbb{T}$, then*

$$(\omega \circ v)^{\Delta} = \left(\omega^{\tilde{\Delta}} \circ v \right) v^{\Delta}. \tag{2.12}$$

Lemma 2.4 ([4, p. 259, Theorem 6.13], Hölder inequality)**.** *Let $a, b \in \mathbb{T}$. If $f, g \in C_{rd}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$, then*

$$\int_a^b |f(x)g(x)|\Delta x \le \left[\int_a^b |f(x)|^p \Delta x \right]^{1/p} \left[\int_a^b |g(x)|^q \Delta x \right]^{1/q}, \tag{2.13}$$

where $p > 1$ and $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$.

Lemma 2.5. *Let $a, b \in \mathbb{T}$. If $f, g \in C_{rd}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$ are positive, then*

$$\int_a^b \frac{[f(x)]^p}{[g(x)]^{p/q}} \Delta x \ge \frac{\left[\int_a^b f(x)\Delta x \right]^p}{\left[\int_a^b g(x)\Delta x \right]^{p/q}}, \tag{2.14}$$

where $p > 1$ or $p < 0$ while $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$.

The equality in (2.14) holds if and only if $f(x) = ag(x)$ for $a > 0$.

Proof. For $p > 1$, using the inequality (2.13) in Lemma 2.4 leads to

$$\int_a^b f(x)\Delta x = \int_a^b \frac{f(x)}{\sqrt[q]{g(x)}} \sqrt[q]{g(x)}\, \Delta x \le \left(\int_a^b \frac{[f(x)]^p}{[g(x)]^{p/q}} \Delta x \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_a^b g(x)\Delta x \right)^{1/q}.$$

Further taking the p-th power on both sides of the above inequality yields (2.14).

For $p < 0$, utilizing the inverse of Hölder's inequality and similar argument as a little time ago result in the required inequality. □

Lemma 2.6. *Let $a, b \in \mathbb{T}$. If $f, g \in C_{rd}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$ and*

$$0 < m \le \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} \le M < \infty, \tag{2.15}$$

then we have

$$\left[\int_a^b f(x)\Delta x \right]^{1/p} \left[\int_a^b g(x)\Delta x \right]^{1/q} \le \left(\frac{M}{m} \right)^{1/pq} \int_a^b f^{1/p}(x) g^{1/q}(x)\Delta x, \tag{2.16}$$

where $p > 1$ and $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$.

Proof. From $\frac{f(x)}{g(x)} \le M$, it follows that

$$g^{1/q}(x) \ge M^{-1/q} f^{1/q}(x)$$

and

$$f^{1/p}(x) g^{1/q}(x) \ge M^{-1/q} f^{1/q}(x) f^{1/p}(x) = M^{-1/q} f(x).$$

Accordingly,

$$\left[\int_a^b f^{1/p}(x) g^{1/q}(x) \Delta x\right]^{1/p} \ge M^{-1/pq} \left[\int_a^b f(x) \Delta x\right]^{1/p}. \tag{2.17}$$

On the other hand, from $\frac{f(x)}{g(x)} \ge m$, it follows easily that

$$\left[\int_a^b f^{1/p}(x) g^{1/q}(x) \Delta x\right]^{1/q} \ge m^{1/pq} \left[\int_a^b g(x) \Delta x\right]^{1/q}. \tag{2.18}$$

Multiplying (2.17) and (2.18) leads to (2.16). □

Replacing $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ respectively by $f^p(x)$ and $g^q(x)$ in Lemma 2.6, it is immediate to obtain the following conclusion.

Lemma 2.7. *Let $a, b \in \mathbb{T}$. If $f, g \in C_{rd}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$ and*

$$0 < m \le \frac{f^p(x)}{g^q(x)} \le M < \infty,$$

then

$$\left[\int_a^b f^p(x) \Delta x\right]^{1/p} \left[\int_a^b g^q(x) \Delta x\right]^{1/q} \le \left(\frac{M}{m}\right)^{1/pq} \int_a^b f(x) g(x) \Delta x, \tag{2.19}$$

where $p > 1$ and $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$.

Remark 2.1. When $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$, Lemma 2.7 becomes [32, Theorem 2.1].

3. MAIN RESULTS

Now we are in a position to state and prove our main results.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $a, b \in \mathbb{T}$. If $f \in C_{rd}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$ is positive and*

$$\int_a^b f(x) \Delta x \ge (b-a)^{p-1}, \tag{3.1}$$

then

$$\int_a^b [f(x)]^p \Delta x \ge \left[\int_a^b f(x) \Delta x\right]^{p-1}, \tag{3.2}$$

where $p > 1$ or $p < 0$.

Proof. Using Lemma 2.5 and the condition (3.1), we obtain

$$\int_a^b [f(x)]^p \Delta x = \int_a^b \frac{[f(x)]^p}{1^{p-1}} \Delta x \ge \frac{\left[\int_a^b f(x) \Delta x\right]^p}{\left[\int_a^b 1 \Delta x\right]^{p-1}} \ge \left[\int_a^b f(x) \Delta x\right]^{p-1}.$$

The proof of Theorem 3.1 is complete. □

Remark 3.1. Letting $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$ and $p > 1$ in Theorem 3.1, we deduce [18, Theorem A].

Theorem 3.2. *Let $a, b \in \mathbb{T}$. If $f(x)$ and $\sigma(x)$ are rd-continuous and positive on $[a, b]$, Δ-differentiable on (a, b), $f(a) \geq \mu(a) \geq 0$, and $f^{\Delta}(x) \geq 1 + \sigma^{\Delta}(x)$ for all $x \in (a, b)$, then the inequality*

$$\int_a^b [f(x)]^{p+2} \Delta x \geq \frac{1}{(b-a)^{p-1}} \left[\int_a^b f(x) \Delta x \right]^{p+1} \tag{3.3}$$

is valid for $p \geq 1$.

Proof. By Lemma 2.5, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^b [f(x)]^{p+2} \Delta x &= \int_a^b \frac{[f(x)]^{p+2}}{1^{p+1}} \Delta x \\ &\geq \frac{\left[\int_a^b f(x) \Delta x\right]^{p+2}}{\left(\int_a^b 1 \Delta x\right)^{p+1}} \\ &= \frac{\left[\int_a^b f(x) \Delta x\right]^{p+1}}{(b-a)^{p-1}} \cdot \frac{\int_a^b f(x) \Delta x}{(b-a)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

So it is enough to show $\int_a^b f(x) \Delta x \geq (b-a)^2$. For this, let

$$F(x) = \int_a^x f(t) \Delta t - (x-a)^2.$$

A simple computation yields

$$F^{\Delta}(x) = f(x) - [x - a + \sigma(x) - a]$$

and

$$F^{\Delta\Delta}(x) = f^{\Delta}(x) - 1 - \sigma^{\Delta}(x).$$

Since $F^{\Delta\Delta}(x) \geq 0$ and

$$F^{\Delta}(a) = f(a) - [\sigma(a) - a] = f(a) - \mu(a) \geq 0,$$

it follows that $F^{\Delta}(x) \geq F^{\Delta}(a) \geq 0$. Therefore, by Lemma 2.1, the function $F(x)$ is increasing. From $F(a) = 0$, it is easy to see that $F(x) \geq F(a) = 0$. The proof is complete. □

Remark 3.2. If $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$ and $p \geq 2$, then $\sigma(x) = x$, $\sigma^{\Delta}(x) = 1$, $f(a) \geq 0$, and $f^{\Delta}(x) \geq p \geq 2$. Then Theorem 1.1 may be concluded from Theorem 3.2.

Remark 3.3. If $\mathbb{T} = q\mathbb{Z}$ and $p \geq 2$, then $\sigma(x) = x + q$, $\sigma^{\Delta}(x) = 1$, $f(a) \geq q > 0$, and $f^{\Delta}(x) \geq p \geq 2$. Consequently, Theorem 3.2 becomes Theorem 1.2.

Remark 3.4. When $p \geq 2$, Theorem 3.2 unifies both of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2.

Theorem 3.3. *Let $a, b \in \mathbb{T}$ and $p > 1$ with $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. If $0 < m \leq f^p(x) \leq M < \infty$ on $[a, b]$, then*

$$\left[\int_a^b f^p(x) \Delta x \right]^{1/p} \leq (b-a)^{-(p+1)/q} \left(\frac{M}{m} \right)^{2/pq} \left[\int_a^b f^{1/p}(x) \Delta x \right]^p. \tag{3.4}$$

Proof. Putting $g(x) = 1$ into Lemma 2.7 yields

$$\left[\int_a^b f^p(x) \Delta x \right]^{1/p} \left(\int_a^b 1 \Delta x \right)^{1/q} \leq \left(\frac{M}{m} \right)^{1/pq} \int_a^b f(x) \Delta x,$$

$$\left[\int_a^b f^p(x)\Delta x\right]^{1/p} \le \left(\frac{M}{m}\right)^{1/pq}(b-a)^{-1/q}\int_a^b f(x)\Delta x. \tag{3.5}$$

Substituting $g(x)=1$ in Lemma 2.6 leads to

$$\left[\int_a^b f(x)\Delta x\right]^{1/p} \le (b-a)^{-1/q}\left(\frac{M}{m}\right)^{1/p^2q}\int_a^b f^{1/p}(x)\Delta x,$$

$$\int_a^b f(x)\Delta x \le (b-a)^{-p/q}\left(\frac{M}{m}\right)^{1/pq}\left[\int_a^b f^{1/p}(x)\Delta x\right]^p. \tag{3.6}$$

Combining (3.5) with (3.6), the inequality (3.4) follows. □

Theorem 3.4. *If $f(x)$ is Δ-differentiable on the closed interval $[a,b]$, $f(a)=0$, and $0<f^\Delta(x)<1$ for all $x\in(a,b)$, then the inequality*

$$2^{1-p}p\int_a^b f^{2p-1}(x)\Delta x < \left[\int_a^b f(x)\Delta x\right]^p, \tag{3.7}$$

holds for $p>1$.

Proof. Let

$$F(x)=\left[\int_a^x f(t)\Delta t\right]^p - 2^{1-p}p\int_a^x f^{2p-1}(t)\Delta t, \quad x\in(a,b).$$

Applying Lemma 2.2 and differentiating $F(x)$ with respect to x give

$$\begin{aligned} F^\Delta(x) &= pg^\Delta(x)\int_0^1 \left[g(x)+\mu(x)hg^\Delta(x)\right]^{p-1}\,\mathrm{d}h - p2^{1-p}f^{2p-1}(x)\\ &\ge pg^\Delta(x)\int_0^1 [g(x)]^{p-1}\,\mathrm{d}h - p2^{1-p}f^{2p-1}(x)\\ &= pf(x)[g(x)]^{p-1} - p2^{1-p}f^{2p-1}(x), \end{aligned}$$

where $g(x)=\int_a^x f(t)\Delta t$ and $g^\Delta(x)=f(x)$.

Since $f(a)=0$ and $f^\Delta(x)>0$, it is easy to see that $f(x)>f(a)=0$.

It is clear that the inequality

$$\left[\int_a^x f(t)\Delta t\right]^{p-1} - 2^{1-p}f^{2p-2}(x) > 0$$

is equivalent to

$$\left[\int_a^x f(t)\Delta t\right]^{p-1} > \left[\frac{f^2(x)}{2}\right]^{p-1}, \quad p>1.$$

Let

$$G(x)=\int_a^x f(t)\Delta t - \frac{f^2(x)}{2}.$$

Then, by Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} G^\Delta(x) &= f(x) - \frac{1}{2}[f(x)+f^\sigma(x)]f^\Delta(x)\\ &\ge f(x) - f(x)f^\Delta(x)\\ &= f(x)\big[1-f^\Delta(x)\big]\\ &> 0. \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account $G(0) = 0$, the inequality $G(x) > 0$ follows. Thus, the proof of Theorem 3.4 is complete. $\square$

Remark 3.5. If $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$, Theorem 3.4 reduces to [43, Lemma 3.5]. If $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$ and $p = 2$, Theorem 3.4 becomes [28, Proposition 1.1].

References

[1] H. Agahi and M. A. Yaghoobi, *A Feng Qi type inequality for Sugeno integral*, Fuzzy Inf. Eng. **2** (2010), no. 3, 293–304; Available online at `http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12543-010-0051-8`.

[2] M. Akkouchi, *On an integral inequality of Feng Qi*, Divulg. Mat. **13** (2005), no. 1, 11–19.

[3] M. Akkouchi, *Some integral inequalities*, Divulg. Mat. **11** (2003), no. 2, 121–125. 1

[4] M. Bohner and A. Peterson, *Dynamic Equations on Time Scales: An Introduction with Applications*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2001. 2, 3

[5] L. Bougoffa, *An integral inequality similar to Qi's inequality*, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. **6** (2005), no. 1, Art. 27; Available online at `http://www.emis.de/journals/JIPAM/article496.html`.

[6] L. Bougoffa, *Notes on Qi type integral inequalities*, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. **4** (2003), no. 4, Art. 77; Available online at `http://www.emis.de/journals/JIPAM/article318.html`.

[7] K. Brahim, N. Bettaibi, and M. Sellemi, *On some Feng Qi type q-integral inequalities*, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. **9** (2008), no. 2, Art. 43; Available online at `http://www.emis.de/journals/JIPAM/article975.html`. 1

[8] X.-K. Chai and H.-X. Du, *Several discrete inequalities*, Int. J. Math. Anal. **4** (2010), no. 33-36, 1645–1649.

[9] Y. Chen and J. Kimball, *Note on an open problem of Feng Qi*, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. **7** (2006), no. 1, Art. 4; Available online at `http://www.emis.de/journals/JIPAM/article621.html`.

[10] V. Csiszár and T. F. Móri, *The convexity method of proving moment-type inequalities*, Statist. Probab. Lett. **66** (2004), no. 3, 303–313.

[11] Z. Dahmani, *Extensions for some integral inequalities of Qi type and other inequalities*, submitted.

[12] Z. Dahmani and L. Tabharit, *Certain inequalities involving fractional integrals*, J. Adv. Res. Sci. Comput. **2** (2010), no. 1, 55–60.

[13] Z. Dahmani and S. Belarbi, *Some inequalities of Qi type using fractional integration*, Int. J Nonlinear Sci. (2011), in press.

[14] Y. Hong, *A note on Feng Qi type integral inequalities*, Int. J. Math. Anal. **1** (2007), no. 25-28, 1243–1247.

[15] V. Krasniqi and A. Sh. Shabani, *On some Feng Qi type h-integral inequalities*, Int. J. Open Probl. Comput. Sci. Math. **2** (2009), no. 4, 516–521.

[16] W.-J. Liu, C.-C. Li, and J.-W. Dong, *Consolidations of extended Qi's inequality and Bougoffa's inequality*, J. Math. Inequal. **2** (2008), no. 1, 9–15.

[17] W.-J. Liu, C.-C. Li, and J.-W. Dong, *Note on Qi's inequality and Bougoffa's inequality*, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. **7** (2006), no. 4, Art. 129; Available online at `http://www.emis.de/journals/JIPAM/article746.html`.

[18] W.-J. Liu, Q.-A. Ngô, and V. N. Huy, *Several interesting integral inequalities*, J. Math. Inequal. **3** (2009), no. 2, 201–212. 4

[19] S. Mazouzi and F. Qi, *On an open problem regarding an integral inequality*, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. **4** (2003), no. 2, Art. 31; Available online at `http://www.emis.de/journals/JIPAM/article269.html`.

[20] Y. Miao, *Further development of Qi-type integral inequality*, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. **7** (2006), no. 4, Art. 144; Available online at `http://www.emis.de/journals/JIPAM/article763.html`.

[21] Y. Miao and J.-F. Liu, *Discrete results of Qi-type inequality*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. **46** (2009), no. 1, 125–134.

[22] Y. Miao and F. Qi, *Several q-integral inequalities*, J. Math. Inequal. **3** (2009), no. 1, 115–121.

[23] Q. A. Ngô and P. H. Tung, *Notes on an open problem of F. Qi and Y. Chen and J. Kimball*, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. **8** (2007), no. 2, Art. 41; Available online at `http://www.emis.de/journals/JIPAM/article856.html`.

[24] J. Pečarić and T. Pejković, *Note on Feng Qi's integral inequality*, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. **5** (2004), no. 3, Art. 51; Available online at `http://www.emis.de/journals/JIPAM/article418.html`.

[25] J. Pečarić and T. Pejković, *On an integral inequality*, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. **5** (2004), no. 2, Art. 47; Available online at `http://www.emis.de/journals/JIPAM/article401.html`.

[26] G. W. Peters, Y. Fan, and S. A. Sisson, *On sequential Monte Carlo, partial rejection control and approximate Bayesian computation*, Available online at `http://arxiv.org/abs/0808.3466v1`.

[27] T. K. Pogány, *On an open problem of F. Qi*, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. **3** (2002), no. 4, Art. 54; Available online at `http://www.emis.de/journals/JIPAM/article206.html`.

[28] F. Qi, *Several integral inequalities*, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. **1** (2000), no. 2, Art. 19; Available online at `http://www.emis.de/journals/JIPAM/article113.html`. 1, 7

[29] F. Qi, *Several integral inequalities*, RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll. **2** (1999), no. 7, Art. 9, 1039–1042; Available online at `http://rgmia.org/v2n7.php`. 1

[30] F. Qi, A.-J. Li, W.-Z. Zhao, D.-W. Niu, and J.-Cao, *Extensions of several integral inequalities*, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. **7** (2006), no. 3, Art. 107; Available online at `http://www.emis.de/journals/JIPAM/article706.html`.

[31] F. Qi and K.-W. Yu, *Note on an integral inequality*, J. Math. Anal. Approx. Theory **2** (2007), no. 1, 96–98.

[32] S. Saitoh, V. K. Tuan, and M. Yamamoto, *Reverse convolution inequalities and applications to inverse heat source problems*, J. Inequal. Pure. Appl. Math. **3** (2002), no. 5, Art. 80; Available online at `http://www.emis.de/journals/JIPAM/article232.html`. 4

[33] M. Z. Sarikaya, U. M. Ozkan, and H. Yildirim, *Time scale integral inequalities similar to Qi's inequality*, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. **7** (2006), no. 4, Art. 128; Available online at `http://www.emis.de/journals/JIPAM/article745.html`.

[34] W. T. Sulaiman, *A study on new q-integral inequalities*, Appl. Math. **2** (2011), no. 4, 465–469; Available online at `http://dx.doi.org/10.4236/am.2011.24059`.

[35] W. T. Sulaiman, *Several q-integral inequalities*, Aust. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **7** (2010), no. 2, Art. 6; Available online at `http://ajmaa.org/cgi-bin/paper.pl?string=v7n2/V7I2P6.tex`.

[36] J.-S. Sun, *A note on an open problem for integral inequality*, RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll. **7** (2004), no. 3, Art. 21, 539–542; Available online at `http://rgmia.org/v7n3.php`.

[37] J.-S. Sun and Y.-Z. Wu, *Note on an open problem of inequality*, College Math. (Dàxué Shùxué) **24** (2008), no. 1, 126–128.

[38] N. Towghi, *Notes on integral inequalities*, RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll. **4** (2001), no. 2, Art. 12, 277–278; Available online at `http://rgmia.org/v4n2.php`.

[39] A. Witkowski, *On a F. Qi integral inequality*, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. **6** (2005), no. 2, Art. 36; Available online at `http://www.emis.de/journals/JIPAM/article505.html`.

[40] B.-Y. Xi, *Some generalizations of Feng Qi type integral inequality*, submitted.

[41] P. Yan and M. Gyllenberg, *On an open problem of integral inequalities*, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. **7** (2006), no. 5, Art. 170; Available online at `http://www.emis.de/journals/JIPAM/article788.html`.

[42] P. Yan and M. Gyllenberg, *On a conjecture of Qi-type integral inequalities*, J. Inequal. Pure. Appl. Math. **7** (2006), no. 4, Art. 146; Available online at `http://www.emis.de/journals/JIPAM/article760.html`.

[43] L. Yin, *On several new Qi's inequalities*, Creat. Math. Inform. **20** (2011), no. 1, 90–95. 7

[44] K.-W. Yu and F. Qi, *A short note on an integral inequality*, RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll. **4** (2001), no. 1, Art. 4, 23–25; Available online at `http://rgmia.org/v4n1.php`.

(L. Yin) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BINZHOU UNIVERSITY, BINZHOU CITY, SHANDONG PROVINCE, 256603, CHINA
E-mail address: `yinli_79@163.com`

(F. Qi) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, COLLEGE OF SCIENCE, TIANJIN POLYTECHNIC UNIVERSITY, TIANJIN CITY, 300160, CHINA; SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATICS, HENAN POLYTECHNIC UNIVERSITY, JIAOZUO CITY, HENAN PROVINCE, 454010, CHINA
E-mail address: `qifeng618@gmail.com, qifeng618@hotmail.com, qifeng618@qq.com`
URL: `http://qifeng618.wordpress.com`